

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/714,382	ALT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ardith E. Hertzog	1754	

All Participants:
Status of Application: In condition for allowance

 (1) Ardith E. Hertzog.

(3) _____.

 (2) Robert G. Weilacher.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 May 2005
Time: approx. 10:00 a.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

per After-Final Amendment filed 14 February 2005

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

N/A
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney called for a status update. In response, attached is a copy of the Notice of Allowability prepared last week but not yet mailed (or scanned into IFW) due to a PTO computer system outage. Thus, no further response from applicant is necessary, since, upon restoration of the system, the PTOL-37 WILL be processed with a date PRIOR TO 5 May 2005 (i.e., the end of the six-month statutory period for reply).